

# AGENDA SUPPLEMENT (1)

---

**Meeting:** Council  
**Place:** Council Chamber - County Hall, Trowbridge  
**Date:** Tuesday 9 November 2010  
**Time:** 10.30 am

---

**The Agenda and Minutes Book for the above meeting was published on 29.10.10 and indicated that the reports detailed below would be to follow. These are now available and are attached to this Agenda Supplement.**

Please direct any enquiries on this Agenda to Yamina Rhouati, of Democratic Services, County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, direct line 01225 718024 or email [yaminarhouati@wiltshire.gov.uk](mailto:yaminarhouati@wiltshire.gov.uk)

Press enquiries to Communications on direct lines (01225)713114/713115.

This Agenda and all the documents referred to within it are available on the Council's website at [www.wiltshire.gov.uk](http://www.wiltshire.gov.uk)

---

## 5.a) **Petitions Received**

### **Petition - 'Save Melksham Library'**

Cllr Jon Hubbard will present the above mentioned petition

The petition states:

'We, the undersigned, support Cllr Jon Hubbard's campaign to keep Melksham's Library located in the Town Centre. We do not want to see the Library relocated to a new Melksham Campus out-of-town'.

## 10. **Councillors' Questions** (Pages 1 - 36)

Questions have been received from Councillors:

Clark, Hubbard, Jeff Osborn, Helen Osborn, Fogg, Killane, Carbin, Colmer, Payne and Rogers.

13. **Minutes of Cabinet and Committees** *(Pages 37 - 74)*

Minutes of the following meetings which were marked to follow in the Summons

- Standards Committee – 26 October 2010
- Western Area Planning Committee – 27 October 2010
- Southern Area Planning Committee – 28 October 2010

---

DATE OF PUBLICATION: 08 November 2010
---------------------------------------

**WILTSHIRE COUNCIL**

**COUNCIL  
9 NOVEMBER 2010**

---

**COUNCILLORS' QUESTIONS**

**FROM COUNCILLOR ERNIE CLARK  
HILPERTON DIVISION**

**TO COUNCILLOR KEITH HUMPHRIES  
CABINET MEMBER FOR HEALTH AND WELLBEING**

**Question 1**

Since the inception of 'one council' the administration has been very keen to 'harmonise'. However, eighteen months on it seems odd that each of the ex district council areas still has its own Hackney Carriage hire rates and various times of day when these rates change. I also understand that taxi drivers are still licensed only for their old areas when plying for hire, rather than 'Wiltshire'. Why is this? Also, with diesel costs having escalated, when will the hire tariffs next be reviewed?

**Response**

The issue of the hackney carriage (taxi) and private hire vehicle licensing regime was considered by the Licensing Committee at its meeting on 26 May this year. The report followed an extensive consultation exercise involving all taxi and private hire vehicle licence holders in Wiltshire, as well as a broad cross section of 600 members of the public and other bodies representing disabled users. Meetings were also held with the taxi trade in all four hub areas as part of the consultation process, to gauge their views on the review of the licensing regime.

The Licensing Committee agreed to introduce the following changes from 1 October 2010:

- Introduce a single penalty points scheme for hackney carriage drivers in the Wiltshire Council area
- Harmonise conditions relating to vehicle age
- Phase in changes to harmonise conditions relating to wheelchair accessible vehicles
- Harmonise vehicle inspection checks
- Retain four zones within Wiltshire Council area
- Retain four existing tariffs (fares) within the harmonised conditions, enforcement and administrative arrangements.

On the specific issue of tariffs, there was a considerable difference in the range of the four former district hub tariffs, which reflect the variation in locality and demand (i.e. rural/city/tourism/night time economy hire rates. In addition during all four

meetings with the trade it became apparent the trade representatives were opposed to the proposed single tariff. As there was no clear consensus amongst the trade the committee agreed to continue with the four different rates, and to carry out further consultation. This consultation is ongoing at present. A significant additional issue to be aware of is that where the council imposes a new single rate then it is responsible for the cost of both recalibrating the taxi meters (around £22,500) and for the cost of advertising the changes (approx. £8,000 – £10,000).

The effect of the increase in fuel prices has led to the taxi trade in the north and west areas requesting a review of their tariffs. Drivers in the south and east areas have not asked for any review. A meeting with the trade is planned for 15 November to progress this. Where the trade ask for a review the council is not responsible for bearing any costs.

With regard to the zoning question, the trade survey results showed that 68% of drivers returns wished to retain the four separate zones. This influenced the committee's decision. Drivers who wish to ply for hire across the entire Wiltshire Council area are able to apply to drive in all four zones for a small administrative fee.

**FROM COUNCILLOR ERNIE CLARK**

**TO COUNCILLOR JANE SCOTT**  
**LEADER OF THE COUNCIL**

**Question 2**

Wiltshire Council produces a magazine titled 'Housing Matters'. Could I please be provided with answers to the following questions relating to this publication.

- a) What is the annual cost of production inclusive of officer time etc.?
- b) Why is there no 'external' advertising?
- c) The back page advises, in four non-English languages, how information on WC can be obtained. What are these four languages and how was the decision made to use these rather than any other non-English language?
- d) The two-page article in the Summer 2010 edition on the council leader was 'different'. I now know that Jane has been 'The Dairy Queen of Blackpool'. However, what serious purpose did this article serve? The recipients presumably receive other WC publications that extol the savings target of 'one council', area boards etc. Why the duplication?

**Response**

- a) The production costs below are based on estimates, as staff time includes branding and communication officers, as well as the Housing Tenant Participation Officer.

Annual production cost of design and print	- £20,000
Officer time over a year	- £10,000
Postage for 4 issues	- £ 5,300

Note – to save on postage costs, wherever possible Housing Matters is sent out with the quarterly rent statements.

b) A policy decision was taken by the editorial board (made up of housing staff, tenants, and a leaseholder) not to have advertising. Although selling advertising space would reduce costs, the revenue is likely to be low given the small circulation.

c) The languages are Cantonese, Arabic, Urdu and Polish. These were selected on the basis that they represent the four biggest communities in Wiltshire that do not speak English as a first language. The 2011 Census will provide updated information if these are still the appropriate languages.

d) The background information on me was compiled from an interview I gave to give the feature some human interest, as in the past “Housing Matters” has been criticised for containing an excessive amount of official information. It is recognised that tenants receive other council publications and that there may be some duplication. The housing editorial staff liaises with the corporate communications team to discuss and plan content.

“Housing Matters”, is well received by tenants. As the social landlord the council has a legal duty to maintain communication and regularly consult with its tenants. Some of the information is of a statutory nature, i.e. gas appliances servicing and other health and safety advice, notification of Annual General Meetings, the annual tenant’s survey and report.

It is widely regarded as best practice for social landlords to send their tenants a regular magazine particularly where tenants have the opportunity to get involved in contributing to the publication and participating in the wider service.

To improve the production process, the communications team is producing some terms of reference for “Housing Matters”, and production guidelines. The guidelines will be agreed by the editorial board and the Tenants’ Panel in November, and by the Housing Commission. The guidelines will include editorial board membership, forward planning, suitable content, number of pages and frequency, and sign off arrangements.

**FROM COUNCILLOR ERNIE CLARK**  
**TO COUNCILLOR JANE SCOTT**  
**LEADER OF THE COUNCIL**

**Question 3**

It has been reported in the press that the Chief Executive of the new (unitary) Cornwall Council is to take a 5% pay cut in order to 'lead from the front'.

Is either the Leader, or her Cabinet, thinking of approaching the Chief Executive of Wiltshire Council to see if he will be following this example?

**Response**

No.

**FROM COUNCILLOR ERNIE CLARK**  
**TO COUNCILLOR JANE SCOTT**  
**LEADER OF THE COUNCIL**

**Question 4**

Could the administration of this council please justify the spending of £475,000 on establishing the Wiltshire Council 'brand' please. Why was it felt necessary for this council to spend so much?

**FROM COUNCILLOR JON HUBBARD**  
**MELKSHAM SOUTH DIVISION**  
**TO COUNCILLOR JANE SCOTT**  
**LEADER OF THE COUNCIL**

**Question 1**

You will be aware of the recent BBC News article recently which revealed that Wiltshire Council spent £475,000 on rebranding following the merger of the five councils into one, the second highest cost nationally of rebranding exercises by newly formed unitary authorities.

I assume some of this cost included the free pens, shopping trolley tokens and other freebies distributed to the public in an effort to justify the new council. Can you please explain how spending nearly half a million of public money on a name change really represents best value for money?

How come Liberal Democrat controlled councils in Northumberland and Bedford were able to perform similar exercises for a fraction of the cost (£5,776.45 and £19,000 respectively)? Even the highly rural and geographically large new Cornwall Council only spent £65,000 on their rebranding exercise.

**Response to questions from Cllrs Clark and Hubbard**

Wiltshire Council was formed as a new organisation from five separate councils in April 2009.

It was vitally important people knew who to contact from the day the new council was launched – 1 April 2009 – in relation to a council service or to have their say on the services provided.

The Implementation Executive made a recommendation to the former county council not to change the name and to continue as Wiltshire County Council. Full council, however, agreed that the new council should be called Wiltshire Council as it was a new organisation and, as such, should have a new name and be

rebranded to avoid any customer confusion relating to the former five organisations.

Rebranding is a cost that has to be met when organisations are merged and the cost formed part of the transition costs submitted to Government. In the creation of Wiltshire Council this reorganisation is now saving around £18 million a year.

The council was acutely aware of the need to ensure rebranding was undertaken in the most cost effective way possible.

The design of the new brand and the creation of the tag line *where everybody matters* were undertaken in house and with the input from local people - no money was spent on external agencies for this work.

Where possible, stocks of leaflets and stationery were used in the run up to the new council to avoid unnecessary wastage.

Where building, vehicles and other assets had to be rebranded the focus was on those that were most visible to the customer such as refuse freighters and signage at our main public-facing offices.

### **Costs for rebranding**

Parking services incurred a cost of £17,135 as there were statutory obligations to change ticket machines.

Design samples of a new brand = £2,188 - the logo was designed in-house along with letterheads, comp slips and business cards.

The policy was to use up old stock and replace items in a 'business-as-usual' process.

Website rebranding: nil - the websites were redesigned in-house and no specific costs are attached.

Building signs: across five councils - £179,227

Vehicle livery: across five councils' fleets - £90,436 - new vehicles were not purchased and re-spray not done - stickers, were designed to cover old logos.

Uniforms: £113,827 - some service teams at the five councils had uniforms and some didn't - uniforms for frontline staff and those who needed specific clothing items were implemented consistently.

Road signs: £2,366

Internal office signage: £11,395

Refuse and recycling sites: Nil

Parks and gardens: open spaces and play areas - £17,494

Car parks signage - £23,505

The quotes from other councils relate to design costs of a new logo only and not for rebranding. Our comparative cost for logo samples produced was £2,188.



**WILTSHIRE COUNCIL**

**COUNCIL  
9 NOVEMBER 2010**

---

**COUNCILLORS' QUESTIONS**

**QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILLOR JON HUBBARD  
MELKSHAM SOUTH DIVISION**

**TO COUNCILLOR JANE SCOTT  
LEADER OF THE COUNCIL**

**Question 2**

Please confirm what the total costs of redundancies would have been for ex-Wiltshire County Council employees if they had been made redundant under the new redundancy scheme employed by the council instead of the special scheme created solely for the purpose of paying off old council staff during and after the transition to one council.

**Response**

There were 11 ex Wiltshire County Council employees redundant as a result of the move to "One Council" (LGR). The total cost of those redundancies based on the redundancy pay policy for LGR was £1,968,066.00. The estimated cost, if the new redundancy pay policy were to be applied is £1,152,028.00. This cost includes the redundancy pay and estimated pension strain costs of the pension being taken early where applicable.

**Question 3**

The Independent on Sunday recently reported on the appointment of former Chief Executive Keith Robinson to the board of directors of consulting firm Charteris. The article made reference to the appointment of Charteris as the consultants employed by the council during the transition to unitary. Obviously we all congratulate Keith on his appointment and wish him well in his new career, but can you please confirm if Charteris are still employed by Wiltshire Council for any work, and if so which contracts and for what values? What was the total amount paid to Charteris for their work during the preparation and transition to unitary?

**Response**

Charteris has a well established business in the provision of advisory services to Local and Regional Government. In common with other consultancy companies, from time to time they seek input from industry figures to help

them understand how to develop services within markets. They had formerly had no direct dealings with Keith Robinson with regard to any contractual work at Wiltshire Council but when they heard that he had stepped down from his role as Chief Executive of Wiltshire Council felt that he could be someone who could provide this kind of input.

Keith Robinson is not and will not become a Charteris employee. Charteris pay for his occasional services through a standard contractor agreement.

This question was asked at several points during the transition at the Implementation Executive and at Council and we had to confirm support costs to the DCLG as part of the process. We confirmed every time we were asked, that Charteris were not involved in the transition programme nor the creation of one council.

Charteris are employed currently to work in Adult Care to help transform Social Care (for which Wiltshire received a specific grant in excess of £2.3m), on a call off contract valued up to £900,000 and to help the Council look at transforming other services through Systems Thinking at a call off contract up to £100,000.

Charteris are currently contracted with the council through the AMTEC consortium, of which Charteris are a partner organisation. This was through a robust procurement process being procured under the OGC Buying Solutions framework. This is a national arrangement set up in compliance with all EU-procurement legislation against which all authorities can buy from.

**COUNCILLORS' QUESTIONS**

**FROM COUNCILLOR JEFF OSBORN  
TROWBRIDGE GROVE DIVISION**

**TO COUNCILLOR JOHN BRADY  
CABINET MEMBER FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT,  
PLANNING AND HOUSING**

**Question**

Can the Council please be informed whether it will be involved in a Local Enterprise Partnership?

**Response**

On the 9th June 2010, the Government, CLG and BIS, invited interested parties to submit proposals for the creation of Local Enterprise Partnerships (LEPs). LEP proposals were to be submitted jointly by business and upper tier local authorities and be with Government by 3rd September 2010.

The Wiltshire Strategic Economic Partnership considered the issue at its meeting on the 15th July 2010 and agreed to work jointly with Wiltshire Council on the development of a LEP proposal or proposals that gave best advantage to the business community of Wiltshire. Consultation with over 120 businesses and business representative organisations identified the issues to be championed and what constituted the best economic footprint for any LEP proposal.

Discussions were held with both Gloucestershire and Swindon, and Dorset and Hampshire over the summer months. Given tensions with other partners and competing LEP proposals, neither Hampshire nor Dorset were able to progress a 'Central Southern' LEP to proposal stage, whereas discussions with Gloucestershire and Swindon progressed to a proposal which was submitted within the timescale required.

We were formally informed on the 28th October that whilst our bid had not been given the 'green light', in general it was seen as a strong proposal and with further work, could still come forward as a LEP.

WSEP and Wiltshire Council still wish to see the creation of a LEP with a geographical footprint that recognises the challenges faced by Wiltshire's business community and are consulting with partners prior to any reworked proposal being submitted to Government.

This page is intentionally left blank

**COUNCILLORS' QUESTIONS**

**FROM COUNCILLOR HELEN OSBORN  
TROWBRIDGE LAMBROK DIVISION**

**TO COUNCILLOR DICK TONGE  
CABINET MEMBERS FOR HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORT**

**Question**

A number of local people have complained to me regarding the dangerous and confused pedestrian crossings at Bythesea Road to access the new Trowbridge Gateway shopping complex. There is a need for a total re-think of the arrangements.

Are Highway engineers aware of the problem and what action will they be taking to remedy it?

**Response**

In allowing planning permission for retail development on the south side of Bythesea road, there was an inevitable and clearly deliberate change to the function of the street. The previous dominance by car traffic has now been altered by a significantly increased demand from pedestrians. There are presently two controlled pedestrian crossings along the new shopping frontage closely spaced approximately 100m apart. These crossings are well used although it is recognised that pedestrians opt to cross Bythesea Road at many other points. Such activity is a byproduct of Bythesea Road becoming a shopping destination, but has not prompted the need for any significant alterations or additions. There are no recorded injury accidents along that stretch of road which are attributable to those movements.

Further significant development opportunity exists in the vicinity at the Waterside site – as and when we have a better understanding of the shape and scale of any proposals, there will need to be a further review of traffic and pedestrian movement over and along Bythesea Road.

This page is intentionally left blank

**COUNCILLORS' QUESTIONS**

**FROM COUNCILLOR NICK FOGG  
MARLBOROUGH WEST DIVISION**

**TO COUNCILLOR DICK TONGE  
CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORT**

**Question**

What lessons, if any, have been learnt from the controversy surrounding the rebuilding of the Pewsey Road bridge in Marlborough?

**Response**

It is common practice to review a project upon completion to identify any process or technical improvements that could be applied in the future. Pewsey Road Bridge Replacement will be reviewed upon completion. I will make sure that Cllr Fogg, other local members and the Town Council are copied in to any report on this.

In addition we will be developing a Network Management Strategy as part of LTP3, following the one year implementation plan, which will seek to make a number of improvements in the way road works are managed to ensure disruption is minimised on Wiltshire's roads.

This page is intentionally left blank



**COUNCILLORS' QUESTIONS**

**FROM COUNCILLOR SIMON KILLANE  
MALMESBURY DIVISION**

**TO COUNCILLOR LIONEL GRUNDY  
CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN'S SERVICES**

**Question**

Given the statement from MP Michael Gove about Playbuilder funding, can I be assured that those applicants that have been formally awarded grants will now receive the funding they were promised.

Letter from Michael Gove MP supplied by Cllr Killane attached as background information.

**Response**

Year 2 of the Playbuilder Programme was suspended by the Coalition Government in June 2010 and no further activity was allowed to be undertaken until the the outcome of the financial review was known. This was a significant delay of 5 months imposed by the Coalition Government. The financial review outcome was released the last week of October 2010 with permission to continue the programme but with a substantial reduction in the available capital from £595,684 - £372,235. As a result the bids for Year 2 had to be reviewed, using the original site selection prioritisation methodology and matrix, as approved by Cabinet on 22 September 2009. All Year 2 projects were also required to submit to the Playbuilder Project Board by 2 November 2010 detailed plans of the project progress to date, including consultation results. Where the required information was not supplied and/or the project did not have the capacity to deliver by the 31 March 2011 timeframe, the Board were unable to proceed with the projects.

Malmesbury were initially awarded £5k, as they were the last Parish on the matrix to meet the threshold of receiving support, due to another project not being viable this was then increased to £11K. Since being granted the funds In April 2010, despite numerous request for information and numerous requests for contact, Malmesbury Town Council have not engaged with us or provided the information required by the Project Board to assess the viability of their project. The Board were therefore unable to proceed with this project.

This page is intentionally left blank



**Rt Hon Michael Gove MP**  
Secretary of State

Sanctuary Buildings Great Smith Street Westminster London SW1P 3BT  
tel: 0870 0012345 [ministers@education.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:ministers@education.gsi.gov.uk)

**Chief Executives**  
**Directors of Children's Services**

20 October 2010

Dear Colleagues,

I am writing to inform you that the exercise to identify savings to the 2010-11 play capital budget has been completed and I can now inform you of your local authority's revised allocation for this financial year (see table at Annex A). This will enable you to make final decisions locally about which play projects should proceed.

I know how important it is that children and families have safe, free local places to play; and the hard work that local authorities are doing to make this a reality. However, the play grant has had to make a contribution to the savings necessary to tackle the budget deficit.

In determining the level of savings, the aim has been to achieve a fair distribution across authorities so that the revised allocations cover expenditure already incurred, contractual commitments and, as affordable, give local authorities headroom to support projects valued locally that are not yet contractually committed.

Based on the information provided by local authorities, I have therefore decided to make a total saving of £20.8m to the original play capital budget of £75m. This means that every local authority will still receive a substantial play capital allocation for 2010-11, whilst ensuring that no contractual commitments have to be broken.

This has been achieved by applying a standard percentage reduction to each local authority's original allocation. The Department is also providing additional funding to cover the value of contractual commitments that local authorities have notified to my officials if these would otherwise exceed the revised allocation.

The Grant Determination Letter for this grant, giving the specific terms and conditions will follow shortly. As you know, the ring-fence attached to this grant has

been removed in order to give you more flexibility to manage your own local budgets.  
I would like to thank you and your colleagues for working so diligently with  
Departmental officials during this exercise.

With every good wish,  


MICHAEL GOVE

**2010-11 Play capital grant allocations by local authority**

	<b>Authorities to which grant is to be paid</b>	<b>Amount of grant to be paid</b>	
Wave 2 play pathfinders	Blackpool	£554,327.50	
	Cornwall	£553,618.75	
	Kirklees	£554,049.00	
	Lambeth	£597,131.00	
	Luton	£586,598.00	
	Merton	£629,237.50	
	Newcastle-upon-Tyne	£556,881.25	
	Oxfordshire	£862,258.00	
	Sandwell	£650,622.50	
	Wigan	£632,049.38	
	Wave 1 Playbuilders	Bolton	£275,131.88
		Brent	£276,295.63
		Bury	£274,111.25
		Calderdale	£274,357.50
Coventry		£275,007.50	
Croydon		£275,826.88	
Derby City		£274,118.13	
Gateshead		£274,574.38	
Halton		£273,610.63	
Hampshire		£280,410.00	
Islington		£287,883.75	
Lancashire		£483,597.00	
Leicester City		£283,875.63	
Lewisham		£401,363.00	
Lincolnshire		£413,176.00	
Middlesbrough		£455,464.00	
Northamptonshire		£443,700.00	
Northumberland	£440,982.00		
Nottinghamshire	£276,901.88		
Peterborough	£274,043.75		
Plymouth	£274,204.38		
Reading	£303,657.00		
Redbridge	£440,979.00		
Sefton	£275,041.25		
Slough	£439,010.00		
Solihull	£275,117.50		
Somerset	£276,011.88		

	Southampton	£274,441.88
	Southend-on-Sea	£439,377.00
	Staffordshire	£277,348.75
	Stockton-on-Tees	£439,545.00
	Suffolk	£443,229.00
	Tameside	£273,975.63
	Thurrock	£326,000.00
	Wandsworth	£275,610.00
	Worcestershire	£431,081.00
Wave 2 Playbuilders	Barking & Dagenham	£430,075.00
	Barnet	£373,886.88
	Barnsley	£373,796.25
	Bedford Borough	£333,400.00
	Bexley	£563,000.00
	Birmingham	£387,178.75
	Bournemouth	£371,725.00
	Bracknell Forest	£368,804.38
	Bradford	£379,831.88
	Brighton & Hove	£373,460.63
	Bromley	£371,946.88
	Buckinghamshire	£596,605.00
	Central Bedford	£436,410.00
	Cheshire East	£333,400.00
	Cheshire West & Chester	£333,400.00
	City of Kingston-upon-Hull	£377,511.25
	Cumbria	£576,846.00
	Darlington	£373,696.88
	Derbyshire	£376,947.50
	Devon	£576,000.00
	Doncaster	£376,115.63
	Dorset	£409,819.00
	Durham	£603,579.00
	Ealing	£600,354.00
	Essex	£614,958.00
	Gloucestershire	£510,611.00
	Greenwich	£377,611.88
	Hammersmith & Fulham	£527,259.00
	Haringey	£376,924.38
	Harrow	£595,428.00
	Hartlepool	£462,838.00
	Havering	£371,344.38
	Herefordshire	£387,200.00
	Hertfordshire	£381,070.00
	Hillingdon	£597,163.00

Hounslow	£373,948.13
Isle of Wight	£395,000.00
Kent	£386,331.25
Kingston-upon-Thames	£369,313.13
Leeds	£379,445.00
Leicestershire	£603,850.00
Liverpool	£377,422.50
Manchester	£380,318.13
Medway	£373,618.13
Milton Keynes	£372,135.00
Newham	£379,663.13
Norfolk	£377,716.25
North East Lincolnshire	£373,464.38
North Lincolnshire	£593,382.00
North Somerset	£369,845.00
North Yorkshire	£600,339.00
Oldham	£550,000.00
Poole	£370,140.00
Redcar & Cleveland	£373,885.00
Richmond-upon-Thames	£369,341.88
Salford	£374,346.25
Sheffield	£377,655.00
Shropshire	£371,183.75
South Gloucestershire	£591,574.00
South Tyneside	£374,095.00
Southwark	£379,361.25
St Helens	£372,406.25
Stockport	£593,836.00
Stoke-on-Trent	£375,150.00
Surrey	£379,931.25
Sutton	£592,940.00
Swindon	£403,519.00
Telford & Wrekin	£372,299.38
Torbay	£499,505.00
Trafford	£370,963.75
Wakefield	£374,441.88
Walsall	£374,538.13
Waltham Forest	£375,853.75
Warrington	£573,000.00
Warwickshire	£597,868.00
West Berkshire	£585,671.00
West Sussex	£377,249.38
Westminster	£377,757.50
Wiltshire	£372,235.00

Windsor & Maidenhead	£411,635.00
Wirral	£373,990.63
Wokingham	£367,103.13
York	£371,012.50
City of London	£62,500.00
Isles of Scilly	£80,000.00
Rutland	£62,500.00



**COUNCILLORS' QUESTIONS**

**FROM COUNCILLOR TREVOR CARBIN  
HOLT AND STAVERTON DIVISION**

**TO COUNCILLOR LIONEL GRUNDY  
CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN'S SERVICES**

**Question**

In February this year Wiltshire Assembly of Youth, together with WC officers and councillors, agreed that a county wide system of 'fairer fares' across the primary transport providers would benefit young people using the buses and the companies providing the service as cheaper fares would encourage a greater number of under 18s to use buses.

A 'statement of intent' affirmed: "Over the next six months we will work together towards a 'fairer transport deal' for young people in Wiltshire up to the age of 18." Signatories to the statement included Stagecoach South and Stagecoach Swindon, the Wiltshire and Dorset Bus Co., Wiltshire councillors Richard Gamble and Richard Clewer, David Whewell, WC head of youth work and Liam Tatton-Bennett on behalf of the voluntary sector.

What has been achieved to help young people since these commitments were made?

**Response**

1. The Council has allocated £5000 to each of the Area Boards for locally-devised schemes to improve transport for young people. Some examples of how this has been used are as follows;
  - In the Lavington area, the Youth Development Service worked with young people to plan and set up a pilot scheme for weekend evening transport into Devizes using a community bus. Unfortunately this was not successful due to lack of take up. However, it has been useful in establishing a model that can be used elsewhere to test potential demand. The lack of take up could have been the result of the timing of the pilot in May, at the height of the school exam season, when evenings were light and sunny and when no special attractions were on in the town. Also most trips took place on a Saturday although there is some evidence that Fridays would be more successful.
  - A second pilot along similar lines is planned for the Amesbury area at a different time of year.

- The Marlborough Area Board are offering free transport (to be provided by youth service minibuses/school minibuses/community minibuses) on a pilot basis for a series of 7 youth events over November and December. They are also commissioning Community First's community transport team to carry out a 'mapping and gapping' exercise, to consolidate previous work done to ascertain young people's transport needs in the Marlborough Community Area; to map the transport services that might be available to meet these; and to ascertain the willingness of young people and other local people to coordinate bookings for, or to drive and chaperone minibus transport.
2. It is suggested that all Area Boards are asked to report on what they have achieved with the money that was given to them, as this would be useful in tracking progress and identifying successful approaches that might be adopted more widely.
  3. Wilts & Dorset have introduced an enhanced young peoples' fares scheme with discounts up to and including age 18, valid at any time of day (their previous policy, which had attracted considerable criticism over the years, was that child fares were only available up to the 15<sup>th</sup> birthday, and were not available before 9.00am on weekdays). This has been funded by reducing the amount of discount offered compared with the previous child fare.
  4. Stagecoach West have advised that, as a result of pressure from young people in both Wiltshire and Gloucestershire, they will be offering a promotional 'Mega-weekend' ticket for young people up to and including 18, initially for a trial weekend later this month (19<sup>th</sup> – 21<sup>st</sup> November). This will give unlimited travel on Stagecoach West buses for the whole weekend for a price of £5. If this is successful they intend to repeat the trial for another weekend this winter. If the trials result in increased use without losing income, they will consider a longer term experiment to offer off-peak discount fares for young people up to age 18 (the current age limit for child fares is 16). The company have no plans to offer discounted travel for 16-18 year olds at peak times.
  5. There have been discussions with another major bus company (not one of the signatories to the 'statement of intent', and who currently offer child fares up to age 16) who say they can not at present afford to increase the age limit to 18, as they have less scope to offset the cost and can not risk reducing income at a time when they already face significant losses of revenue as a result of reductions in central government funding.
  6. The 20% reduction in Bus Service Operators Grant announced in the Spending Review, and the revised guidance on OAP concessionary fares reimbursement recently announced by the Department for Transport that will significantly reduce operators income, is causing great concern in the industry and is likely to result in across the board fares increases and withdrawals of service; in addition to any reduction in local authority

funding for bus services. Operators are understandably reluctant to risk losing income given these uncertainties.

7. The draft public transport strategy in the third Local Transport Plan (currently out for consultation) includes in the Implementation Plan an action to encourage voluntary standardisation of the qualifying age limits and the rates of discount on bus fares available to young people. This will be continue to be pursued with the bus operators, although the financial uncertainty facing the industry at the moment may hinder progress.
8. It is also intended to review fares on Council - funded bus services during the coming year, and this will consider adopting a similar approach to that taken by Wilts & Dorset. As stated at the meeting with young people in February, there are no plans to introduce a council - funded concessionary fares scheme for young people due to the cost implications.
9. It is also intended to review fares on Council - funded bus services during the coming year, and this will consider adopting a similar approach to that taken by Wilts & Dorset. As stated at the meeting with young people in February, there are no plans to introduce a council - funded concessionary fares scheme for young people due to the cost implications.

This page is intentionally left blank

**COUNCILLORS' QUESTIONS**

**FROM COUNCILLOR PETER COLMER  
CRICKLADE, LATTON & MARSTON MEYSEY DIVISION**

**TO COUNCILLOR JANE SCOTT  
LEADER OF THE COUNCIL**

**Question 1**

How many employees have been made redundant since the migration to a unitary authority so far? What has the total cost exposure been, segmented by the component parts, redundancy payments, pay in lieu of notice, pension fund contributions etc?

**Response**

The total cost in the 2009-10 accounts for all redundancy and retirements was £9.5m. Note 2 to the accounts of the 2009-10 published financial statements, reported that the exceptional costs of severance relating to the move to "One Council" (LGR) was £7.1m, which can be analysed between redundancy at £6.4m and retirement at £0.7m.

The total costs in the accounts for the financial year 2010/11 to date are £2.2m. An analysis of the balances held in the accounts is shown below:

2009-10

Redundancy & Severance = £7m

Augmented Grants Early Retirement = £0.8m

Retirement Grant = £1.7m

2010-11 to date:

Redundancy & Severance = £1.3m

Augmented Grants Early Retirement = £0.9m

The total number of employees made redundant since April 2009 is 130, of which the total number of redundancies relating to LGR is 62.

**TO COUNCILLOR JOHN BRADY**  
**CABINET MEMBER FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**  
**PLANNING AND HOUSING**

**Question 2**

The proposed Localism Bill proposes bringing more empty homes back into use. How effective has the Empty Homes Scheme been in meeting this aspiration?

**Response**

Before the Localism Bill was even proposed, housing recognised that a significant priority for Wiltshire should be about making best use of existing accommodation and this included bringing back into use empty homes. Empty homes are an identified priority in both the draft Wiltshire Community Plan 2011-2016 and the Wiltshire Corporate Plan 2010-14.

Empty properties represent an unacceptable waste of resources at a time when demand for affordable housing is high. Bringing empty homes back into use is of advantage to the community (increasing the availability of housing stock) and the Council (achieving the objective of increasing the supply of housing and preventing the loss of a valuable resource).

Our council tax records from April 2010 show that Wiltshire has a minimum of 1644 homes that have been empty for more than six months. This is a minimum figure because owners do not necessarily apply for the empty property discount for council tax purposes. Because of this empty homes are difficult to identify

There are many reasons why a property might become empty for a long period of time. The houses may be empty pending the outcome of legal proceedings, either through probate or family law, or the owners have perhaps have needed to go into residential care or are incapable of managing their affairs.

Bringing empty properties back into use will increase the amount of housing available and thus reduce the number of individuals in housing need. The advantages of bringing these properties back into use include an increase in housing supply, a potential financial saving as it is often more cost effective to renovate an existing property than to build a new one, and an improvement to the environment of the area, including saving the resources required to build a new property. There are also benefits for the owner of the property as it can provide an income either through rent or sale.

The strategic housing team are currently developing an Empty Homes Strategy which will look closely at the cause and nature of empty homes and the full range of potential measures to bring empty homes back into use as

part of. It will also provide a clear approach for officers to deal proactively with properties that are left empty, including seeking information about those properties that do not show up in council tax records. Wiltshire is also working with other councils to model best practice approaches and to use these as exemplars. This new Empty Homes Strategy will seek to ensure that the different departments of the Council involved in an empty home case will act in a co-ordinated and clear way.

The target is, through Council intervention, to bring back into use 50 long-term empty homes (those empty for more than 6 months) by 2014. The focus of this work is on long-term empty homes, which are defined as those properties whose owners have been in direct contact with the Council on more than five occasions. Achievement of this target is dependant on officer resources being available to implement the strategy.

We have obtained approval to recruit a full time empty homes manager but due to the current management restructures it was agreed we should place this post on hold so that those who are affected will have the option to apply. For the past few months a member of the private sector housing team has been prioritising work related to empty homes and has been able to send letters to nearly all landlords / owners who have an empty home encouraging them to bring them back into use. We are also in the middle of doing a survey with our town and parish councils to try and identify further empty homes in the area so that appropriate action can be made to get them back into use.

Within the corporate plan we now record the total amount of non local authority owned vacant dwellings returned to occupation or demolished during the financial year as a result of action by the local authority. Since April 2010 we have recorded 190 properties being returned to use and anticipate a total of 470 by the end of this financial year.

**TO COUNCILLOR FLEUR DE RHE-PHILIPPE, CABINET MEMBER FOR  
FINANCE, PERFORMANCE AND RISK**

**Question 3**

Why is factually incorrect information being stated in public meetings?

The background to this is that at cabinet on 27<sup>th</sup>. July, I asked if there was any risk to the income stream for car parking as no adverse full year variance was being indicated. The response was that there was no risk as the current position was due to phasing. At cabinet on 18<sup>th</sup>. September I asked the same question as there was now an adverse variance, the cabinet member's response was that this was due purely to VAT changes. I followed up this response with the Finance Department, which confirmed that this response was incorrect, the VAT change only being a minor element of the shortfall. Again at cabinet on 27<sup>th</sup>. July I asked for an explanation regarding the shortfall in income relating to Development Services, the response being that this was due to purely a shortfall in planning applications submitted. I followed up this response with the Finance Department, which confirmed that this response was incorrect, the planning applications only being one element of the shortfall of income.

**Response**

When the first monitoring report was provided the period of monitoring was broadly in line with demand but there was a delay in increasing charges that had not filtered through and as such it was forecast the increase would cover the shortfall of lost income. Unfortunately the level of historical records on demand has been limited and as such this has hampered effective demand and forecast analysis. I cannot explain why the later meeting was explained as purely a VAT effect. There was then a further follow up by Central Finance with DNP to investigate the variance which identified the position reported to Members in later months re £500k shortfall projection in car parking and £50k due to VAT rates rising in January 2011 and being absorbed. As far as I can make out this arose largely due to a timing issue of sharing information between Finance teams and briefing the portfolio holder and Cllr Colmer's question. I have addressed the matter and requested that all future reports and briefings are improved both in terms of level of transparency and detail behind. The continued restructure of Finance will also help with improvements in Communications.

Regarding Development Control the issue of shortfall again is complicated by several factors and it is not just a drop in applications, although this is a key factor that has consequential impacts. The cause of the confusion for members is again routed in communication within Finance and I am addressing this and apologise.



**WILTSHIRE COUNCIL**

**COUNCIL  
9 NOVEMBER 2010**

---

**COUNCILLORS' QUESTIONS**

**FROM COUNCILLOR GRAHAM PAYNE  
TROWBRIDGE DRYNHAM DIVISION**

**TO COUNCILLOR JOHN THOMSON  
DEPUTY LEADER & CABINET MEMBER FOR ADULT CARE,  
COMMUNITIES AND LIBRARIES**

**Question**

Are you aware that the Palmer Gardens Charity, the trusteeship of which passed to the Shaw Trust from the former Wiltshire County Council in the mid 1980s, has recently been subsumed into the Shaw Trust Organisation under a Uniting Direction. Can you reassure this council, as the body which was originally vested with the control of the Palmers Garden Charity by the family, that full consultation took place between the Shaw Trust and ourselves or Wiltshire County Council before the merger action took place? If so, what form of consultation took place?

Additionally, can you please reassure me that the land occupied under lease by the Shaw Trust/Palmer Gardens off Islington, Trowbridge will remain in trust on behalf of the young people of Trowbridge for its original purpose (i.e. education of young people from Trowbridge and district) and will not be sold off for short term expediency?

**Response**

Council officers have researched the records relating to the Palmer Gardens site and can find no evidence to suggest that the council has any legal interest in this property.

After looking at the Palmer Trust web-site, it is reasonable to assume that the family set up a Trust and Wiltshire County Council became a Trustee in the 1970's, however the trusteeship was passed to the Shaw Trust in the 1980's. There is no evidence to indicate that the council ever had any rights of ownership of the land or the buildings on the site.

In that context, the Shaw Trust may not have deemed it necessary to formally consult the council whilst undertaking the Uniting Direction. There have been no formal consultations with officers from Wiltshire Council.

The future of the land therefore would appear to be in the hands of the merged Palmer gardens Trust and Shaw Trust and therefore the Council would have no involvement in the sale or use of the land.

This page is intentionally left blank

**COUNCILLORS' QUESTIONS**

**FROM COUNCILLOR RICKY ROGERS  
SALISBURY BEMERTON HEATH DIVISION**

**TO COUNCILLOR LIONEL GRUNDY  
CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN'S SERVICES**

**Question**

Appointment of Local Authority School Governors

Why was the long established protocol of local members leading the appointment of local authority school governors to schools in their communities not followed in the appointment of the local authority governor to Salisbury Sarum Academy.

How many of the appointed governors to Salisbury Sarum Academy come from the Bemerton Community?

**Response**

Academies have an Academy trust which is responsible for the land and assets of an academy and is directly responsible for appointing the governing body. It is possible for the members of the Trust Body and the governing body to be the same.

Academy governing bodies are not subject to the same Governance Regulations (constitution, procedures, staffing) as maintained schools. The make-up of an academy governing body is determined by its Articles of Association and the majority of the governing body is appointed by the Academy Trust

The governing body is subject to the trust body which will have the power to seek amendments to the composition of the governing body by seeking amendments to the Articles of Association.

The governors for Sarum Academy were appointed by the trust following nominations. The LA as a sponsor has one governor, the councillor for Lower Bemerton.

In seeking an LA nomination for the governing body the Council's lead officer for the Sarum Academy project tabled a request for a nomination at the LA

Academy Board in June 2010. This request was taken forward by the Director of Children's Services for consideration by me as the Cabinet member and the Leader of the Council. The subsequent nomination of the member for Lower Bemerton was supplied to the lead officer and in turn was forwarded to the Academy Trust and accepted.

I have attached details on the appointment of governors for your information.

## GOVERNORS

45. The number of Governors shall be not less than three but (unless otherwise determined by ordinary resolution) shall not be subject to any maximum.
46. Subject to Articles 48-49 and 64, the Academy Trust shall have the following Governors:
  - a. up to 3 Sponsor Governors, appointed under Article 50 ;
  - b. 1 LA governor if appointed under Article 51 ;
  - c. 1 parent governor appointed under Articles 53-58;
  - d. up to 2 Governors appointed by Bryanston School;
  - e. up to 2 Governors appointed by Bath Spa University;
  - f. 1 staff governor, appointed from among the staff at the Academy;
  - g. the Principal;
  - h. any Additional Governors, if appointed under Article 62 ; and
  - i. any Further Governors, if appointed under Article 63 .
47. The Academy Trust may also have any co-opted Governor appointed under Article 59.
48. The first Governors shall be those persons named in the statement delivered pursuant to sections 9 and 12 of the Companies Act 2006.
49. Future Governors shall be appointed or elected, as the case may be, under these Articles. Where it is not possible for such a Governor to be appointed or elected due to the fact that an Academy has not yet been established or the Principal has not been appointed, then the relevant Article or part thereof shall not apply.

## APPOINTMENT OF GOVERNORS

50. The Principal Sponsor shall appoint the Sponsor Governors and may appoint himself as a Sponsor Governor. Bryanston School may appoint up to two

Governors. Bath Spa University may appoint up to two Governors.

51. The LA may appoint the LA governor.
52. The Principal shall be treated for all purposes as being an ex officio Governor.
- 52A. The staff governor shall be elected by the teachers and such other staff as shall be employed by the Academy Trust at the time of the election from amongst their number.
- 52B. The Governing Body shall make all necessary arrangements for and determine all other matters relating to any election of the staff governor. Any election of the staff governor which is contested shall be held by secret ballot.
- 52C. Where a vacancy for the staff governor is required to be filled by election the Governing Body shall take such steps as are reasonably practical to secure that all teachers and other staff employed by the Academy Trust at that time are informed of the vacancy and that it is required to be filled by election, informed that they are entitled to stand as a candidate and vote at the election and given an opportunity to do so.
53. Subject to Article 57, the Parent Governor(s) shall be elected by parents of registered pupils at the Academy. A Parent Governor must be a parent of a pupil at the Academy at the time when he is elected.

## STANDARDS COMMITTEE

---

### DRAFT MINUTES OF THE STANDARDS COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 26 OCTOBER 2010 AT COMMITTEE ROOM III - COUNTY HALL, TROWBRIDGE.

#### Present:

##### Wiltshire Council Members

Cllr Julian Johnson and Cllr Ian McLennan

##### Town/Parish Council Co-opted Members

Mr Paul Neale, Mr Robert Oglesby JP and His Hon David MacLaren Webster QC

##### Independent co-opted Members

Mrs Jane Bayley, Mr Michael Cronin, Mr Philip Gill MBE JP, Mrs Isabel McCord (Chairman), Mr Ian McGill CBE and Mr Stuart Middleton

#### 83. Apologies

Apologies for absence were received from Cllr Nigel Carter, Cllr Ernie Clark, Cllr Peter Fuller, Cllr Malcolm Hewson, Mr William Bailey, Mr Craig McCallum, Mr John Scragg, Miss Pam Turner, Mr Keith Wallace and Mr Gerry Robson OBE.

#### 84. Chairman's Announcements

There were no Chairman's announcements.

#### 85. Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest.

#### 86. Public participation

There were no members of the public present.

#### 87. Review of the Constitution of Wiltshire Council

Consideration was given to a report by the Monitoring Officer on the outcome of the review of the constitution for onward recommendation to Council on 9 November 2010.

The Chairman reminded the Committee that the constitution had last been reviewed in 2009/10 to ensure that it would meet the needs of the new Wiltshire Council when it came into effect as a unitary authority on 1 April 2009. The Council had adopted the new constitution on the basis that a review of the constitution's effectiveness would be carried in the light of experience after six months of operation. Council requested this Committee to carry out the review.

Accordingly, this Committee established a cross party Focus Group which included representation from the Standards, Audit and Overview and Scrutiny Committees to undertake the detailed work on the review. The Focus Group chaired by the Chairman of the Standards Committee met on four occasions between March and October 2010 and examined in detail the various Parts and Protocols which made up the constitution. Responses to a questionnaire on the constitution from members of the Council and views from the public in response to an online snap survey were also taken into account. It was noted that town and parish councils had also been given the opportunity to comment on the review.

The Focus Group proposed a number of changes identified as tracked changes in a recommended revised draft of the constitution as presented to the Committee. The Democratic Governance Manager presented and guided the Committee through a summary of the main changes proposed as set out in Appendix 5 of the report presented.

It was noted that the review carried out took into account the outcomes of separate reviews undertaken in respect of Area Boards, Overview and Scrutiny arrangements and Development Control.

Cabinet at its meeting on 19 October 2010 considered a report on the outcome of the review of the Development Control Service. That report included proposals to amend the Scheme of Delegation on Planning and the Planning Code of Practice for Members of Wiltshire Council Protocol.

The Committee noted that Cabinet had resolved to defer consideration of that review until such time as the implications of any changes to the planning system as a result of the Decentralisation and Localism Bill were known. In the meantime, Cabinet also resolved to establish a Working Group to consider the issue of the 21 day call-in period in more detail.

The Committee noted the proposed inclusion of the following three new Protocols in the constitution for the reasons outlined in the report. The Monitoring Officer explained the main provisions of each Protocol:

- Guidance to Councillors Appointed to Outside Bodies
- Partnership
- Governance Reporting Arrangements



The Chairman drew the Committee's attention to the following matters which remained outstanding at this stage:

#### Cabinet member response times

The Member Support in the Locality Task Group had recommended inclusion of a provision in the constitution setting out a specific timescale for Cabinet members to respond to other members of the Council. Members of the Focus Group considered this and suggested a form of wording and timescale as detailed in the report presented. However, Cabinet members had commented that it would be unrealistic on occasions particularly due to holidays and other absences to adhere to the suggested timescales. They suggested a broader protocol to the effect that every effort should be made to provide a prompt response wherever possible with this being applied to all Councillors and not just Cabinet members.

The Committee considered these views and concluded that whilst it would be useful to include an appropriate timescale for responses this was a matter for Councillors to determine and requested that this be reflected in the recommendations to Council.

#### Media Relations Protocol

The Committee noted that this Protocol was currently being redrafted at the request of the Focus Group. The intention was to produce a revised draft for initial consideration by Group Leaders before being presented to Council if this could be achieved within the timescale. The Monitoring Officer explained that the Protocol would need to reflect the provisions of a revised Code of Practice on Local Authority Publicity which was currently out for consultation.

#### Amendments to motions – Rules of Procedure - Council

Following concerns previously expressed by Councillors on the application of this rule, the Focus Group requested the Monitoring Officer to draw up a convention on the application of this rule acceptable to the Chairman of Council and Group Leaders. The Monitoring Officer explained that he was currently working on producing such a convention which would be circulated to Group Leaders and the Chairman of Council for their comments prior to consideration by Council.

The Chairman requested that the report to Council on the outcome of the review of the constitution include a specific section on outstanding issues as detailed above for determination by Council.

The Chairman sought the Committee's views on the thoroughness and effectiveness of the review, the proposed amendments to the constitution and the proposals as set out in the report which would form the basis of recommendations to Council on 9 November.

The Committee confirmed it was satisfied with the way in which the review had been carried out. The Committee also recommended a number of further changes to be reflected in the revised draft constitution being recommended to Council as detailed below.

**Resolved:**

- (a) That the following additional amendments be reflected in the revised draft constitution:
- (i) To transfer the section on the Corporate Parenting Panel from Part 3 - Responsibility for Functions (on the basis that the Panel did not form part of the formal decision making structure) to Part 12 - Members' Job Description (see (ii) below) in the context of existing reference to Councillors acting as Corporate Parents.
  - (ii) That Part 12 – Members' Job Description be renamed 'Role and Responsibilities of Councillors' to better reflect the content of the document. That this Part be specifically reviewed in any subsequent review of the constitution.
  - (iii) To widen the category of persons who can submit a question or make a statement as set out in Part 4 - Rules of Procedure – Council to be consistent with the categories referred to in the section on petitions.
  - (iv) To clarify that the deadline for submitting questions at meetings is four clear working days before a meeting as referred to in Part 4 - Rules of Procedure – Council.
  - (v) To remove the document 'One Council One Culture – What will we be like?' from Protocol 2 – Councillor/Officer Relations Protocol. Whilst relevant when the current constitution was adopted, was now largely out of date. Consideration would be given to including the outcome of work on 'Shaping the Future' in any subsequent review of the constitution.
- (b) The level of allowances paid to members of the Standards Committee be reviewed at the next meeting in light of current financial pressures faced by the Council.

**Recommended to Council:**

- (a) To approve the changes proposed to the constitution shown as tracked changes on the draft revised constitution for adoption by

**Council at its meeting on 9 November 2010 (summary of main changes referred to in Appendix 5 of the report presented).**

- (b) To approve for inclusion in the constitution the Protocol on Partnerships, the Protocol on Guidance to Councillors on Outside Bodies and the Protocol on Governance Reporting Arrangements.**
- (c) To note that the Protocol on Media Relations is still under review and that a revised Protocol would be considered by Group Leaders before being presented to Council as part of the revised constitution.**
- (d) That Council determines the issue of whether or not provision should be made in the constitution in respect of response times by Cabinet members.**
- (e) To note the decision of Cabinet dated 19 October 2010 to defer consideration of the review of the Development Control Service until such time as the implications of any changes to the planning system as a result of the Decentralisation and Localism Bill are known. Consequently, the Scheme of Delegation on Planning – Part 3C and the Planning Code of Good Practice for Members of Wiltshire Council – Protocol 4 remain unchanged in the meantime.**
- (f) To designate the current Scrutiny Manager post as Wiltshire Council’s Scrutiny Officer to be responsible for the statutory functions as defined in Section 31 of the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 (Appendix 3 refers).**
- (g) To authorise the Monitoring Officer to make such amendments as are necessary to give effect to decisions of Cabinet, Council or its committees and to ensure that the constitution is clearly presented and legally fit for the purpose of the Council.**
- (h) To thank the Focus Group, its Chairman Mrs Isabel McCord and other members of the Council who contributed to the review for all their valuable work in undertaking the detailed work required to carry out the review.**
- (i) To agree that the Focus Group remains in being to assist with**
  - the ongoing review work on the constitution as and when required;**
  - producing user friendly summaries of the relevant parts of the constitution for use by members of the public and members of the Council and**

- **specifically to review the constitution in light of any changes in the legislation including the proposed Decentralisation and Localism legislation**

**and to amend its terms of reference to reflect the above.**

88. **Urgent Items**

There were no urgent items.

(Duration of meeting: 2.00 - 3.35 pm)

The Officers who has produced these minutes are Roger Bishton/Yamina Rhouati, of Democratic Services, direct line 01225 718024  
e-mail [roger.bishton@wiltshire.gov.uk](mailto:roger.bishton@wiltshire.gov.uk)  
[Yamina.Rhouati@wiltshire.gov.uk](mailto:Yamina.Rhouati@wiltshire.gov.uk)

Press enquiries to Communications, direct line (01225) 713114/713115

(Duration of meeting: 2.00 - 3.35 pm)

The Officer who has produced these minutes is Roger Bishton, of Democratic Services, direct line 01225 718371035, e-mail [roger.bishton@wiltshire.gov.uk](mailto:roger.bishton@wiltshire.gov.uk)

Press enquiries to Communications, direct line (01225) 713114/713115

## WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE

---

### DRAFT MINUTES OF THE WESTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 27 OCTOBER 2010 IN COUNCIL CHAMBER - COUNCIL OFFICES, BRADLEY ROAD, TROWBRIDGE.

#### **Present:**

Cllr Ernie Clark, Cllr Andrew Davis (Reserve), Cllr Rod Eaton, Cllr Peter Fuller (Chairman), Cllr Mark Griffiths, Cllr Malcolm Hewson, Cllr John Knight, Cllr Francis Morland (Reserve), Cllr Stephen Petty, Cllr Jonathon Seed and Cllr Roy While (Vice Chairman)

---

#### 186 **Apologies for Absence**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Graham Payne (substituted by Councillor Andrew Davis) and Christopher Newbury (substituted by Councillor Francis Morland).

#### 187 **Minutes of the Previous Meeting**

The minutes of the meeting held on 6 October 2010 were presented.

#### **Resolved:**

**To approve as a correct record and sign the minutes of the meeting held on 6 October 2010.**

#### 188 **Declarations of Interest**

W/10/01933/FUL – Councillor Malcolm Hewson declared a personal interest as he had on occasions frequented the premises.

#### 189 **Chairman's Announcements**

There were no Chairman's Announcements.

#### 190 **Public Participation**

The Chairman thanked everyone for attending the meeting. He then explained the rules of public participation and the procedure to be followed.

191 **Planning Applications**

The Committee considered the following applications:

**191.a W/10/02908/FUL Extensions to hay barn and kennels, erection of porch to existing cabin and erection of an agricultural building - Littleton Stables Littleton Semington Wiltshire BA14 6LF**

1. Mr Kirwen Cole, agent for the applicant, spoke in support of the application.
2. Mr Brian Smyth, Semington Parish Councillor, spoke in objection to the application.

Officers introduced the report which recommended approval, explained the application's history and drew the Committee's attention to the late list which contained additional comments.

During the debate that followed members of the Committee sought reassurance that the agricultural building would only be for agricultural use and sought clarification of the Planning Inspector's report.

It was therefore

**Resolved**

**That planning permission be GRANTED**

**For the following reason(s)**

The proposed development conforms to the Development Plan and there are no objections to it on planning grounds.

**Subject to the following condition(s):**

1. The pig farrowing building development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission. All other developments, namely the extensions to the stable/workshop/kennels and former tractor shed shall be begun before the 12 January 2013.

REASON: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and to reflect the temporary nature of some parts of the existing development.

2. The materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the development hereby permitted shall match in material, colour and texture those used in the existing buildings.

REASON: In the interests of visual amenity and the character and appearance of the area.

West Wiltshire District Plan 1st Alteration 2004 - POLICY: C31a.

3. The use permitted under planning permission W/09/00407/FUL dated 12 January 2010 shall be carried on only by Mr Adrian, Mrs Christine and Mr Lee Diment, and shall be for a limited period until 12 January 2013, or the period during which the premises are occupied by one or more of these named persons, whichever is the shorter, within 6 months of which all residential use shall cease; the touring caravan, industrial container 'B', kennels and extensions hereto shall be removed; the cabin shall be restored to the condition and appearance approved under planning permission 01/00008, dated 21 February 2001; and the land to which planning permission W/09/00407/FUL dated 12 January 2010 relates restored to its condition before the residential use commenced.

REASON: In order to protect the rural character of the area and define the terms of this permission.

**Informative(s):**

1. The development hereby approved is limited to that detailed in section 3 of the submitted application form, namely "extensions to hay barn and kennels, provision of a small porch to existing cabin, erection of an agricultural building" pursuant to the conditions attached herewith.

**191.b W/10/01933/FUL Change of use of ground floor of former public house to residential - The Beehive 263 Trowbridge Road Bradford On Avon Wiltshire BA15 1UA**

1. Mr James Honey spoke in objection to the application.
2. Mr John Feane spoke in objection to the application.
3. Mr A.R Meyrick-Carpenter, applicant, spoke in support of the application.
4. Mrs Jane Reeves spoke in support of the application.

Officers introduced the report which recommended approval and explained that a robust marketing exercise had taken place.

A debate followed during which the Committee expressed regret at the loss of a public house but most members of the Committee felt that in this case the size and location of the building would make it difficult to compete with nearby similar establishments and be a viable business.

It was therefore

**Resolved:**

**That planning permission be GRANTED**

**For the following reason(s):**

The proposed development conforms to the Development Plan and there are no objections to it on planning grounds.

**Subject to the following condition(s):**

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

REASON: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

2. Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 (as amended by the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (Amendment) (No.2) (England) Order 2008 (or any Order revoking or re-enacting or amending that Order with or without modification), there shall be no additions/extensions or external alterations to any building forming part of the development hereby permitted.

REASON: In the interests of the amenity of the area and to enable the Local Planning Authority to consider individually whether planning permission should be granted for additions/extensions or external alterations.

West Wiltshire District Plan 1st Alteration 2004 - POLICY: C31a and C38

3. The ground floor conversion hereby approved together with the upper floor accommodation of The Beehive shall be occupied as one dwelling unit.

REASON: The formation of a separate residential accommodation would not be appropriate.

POLICY: West Wiltshire District Plan - 1st Alteration 2004 - Policy H19.

**Informative(s):**

1. The attention of the applicant is drawn to the contents of the attached letter from Wessex Water dated 22 June 2010.

192 **Planning Appeals Update Report**

The Planning Appeals Update Report for September 2010 was received.

It was pointed out that there was an error in the report (page 33 of the agenda refers) and it should read as follows:

**W/09/03287/OUT - Land Adjoining 14 Woodrow Road Melksham**



The Inspector in allowing the appeal considered that the main issue was whether the erection of a 2 storey dwelling in the side garden of No.14 Woodrow Road would have an unacceptable impact on the amenities enjoyed by the occupants of Nos 33 and 34 Woodcombe.

Although he understood why members had been concerned about a possible loss of privacy he was of the opinion that this could be addressed by ensuring that there would be no overlooking of Nos 33 and 34 from any habitable rooms at first floor level. However no cost were awarded.

**Resolved:**

**To note the Planning Appeals Update Report for September 2010.**

193 **Urgent Items**

There were no urgent items.

(Duration of meeting: 6.00 - 7.25 pm)

The Officer who has produced these minutes is Marie Gondlach, of Democratic Services, direct line 01225 713597, e-mail [marie.gondlach@wiltshire.gov.uk](mailto:marie.gondlach@wiltshire.gov.uk)

Press enquiries to Communications, direct line (01225) 713114/713115

This page is intentionally left blank

## SOUTHERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE

---

### DRAFT MINUTES OF THE SOUTHERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 28 OCTOBER 2010 AT ALAMEIN SUITE - CITY HALL, MALTHOUSE LANE, SALISBURY, SP2 7TU.

#### Present:

Cllr Richard Britton, Cllr Christopher Devine, Cllr Mary Douglas, Cllr Jose Green (Vice Chairman), Cllr Mike Hewitt, Cllr George Jeans, Cllr Ian McLennan, Cllr Ian West, Cllr Fred Westmoreland (Chairman) and Cllr Graham Wright

#### Also Present:

Cllr Richard Clewer

---

#### 106. Apologies for Absence

There were no apologies for absence

#### 107. Minutes

The minutes of the meeting held 7 October 2010 were presented.

#### **Resolved:**

**To approve as a correct record and sign the minutes.**

#### 108. Declarations of Interest

**S/2010/1265** – Councillor Douglas said that she knew the previous tenants of 76 Castle Road however she did not have a close association and therefore felt that there was no personal or prejudicial interest.

**S/2010/1072** - Councillor Wright declared a personal and prejudicial interest and would withdraw from the meeting for the duration of the consideration and determination of the application.

**S/2010/0605** - Councillor Britton read out a statement regarding a letter that he wrote to Sport England which may have created the impression that he had predetermined the application. He would therefore speak in his capacity as local member and then withdraw from the meeting for the duration of the consideration and determination of the application.

109. **Chairman's Announcements**

The Chairman explained the meeting procedure to the members of the public.

110. **Public Participation**

The committee noted the rules on public participation.

111. **Planning Appeals**

The committee received details of the following appeal decisions:

S/2010/082716 - Bourne View, Allington – Delegated - Dismissed

S/2009/1543 - Adj 19 Victoria Road, Wilton – Delegated - Dismissed

S/2009/1903 - The Corn Mill, Crouchston, Bishopstone – Delegated - Dismissed

S/2009/1820 - 15A Pennyfarthing Street, Salisbury - Delegated - Allowed

And forthcoming appeals as follows:

S/2010/0007 - Stonehenge Campsite, Berwick St James

S/2010/1137 - Adjacent The Packway, Larkhill

S/2010/1025 - Adjacent 18 Folkestone Road, Salisbury

S/2010/0967 - 10 Woodside Road, Salisbury

112. **Planning Applications**

112: **S/2010/1058 - Stonehenge Caravan and Camping Site, Berwick St James**

Public participation:

Mr Mark Hopkins spoke in objection to the application  
Mrs Rosemary Gairdner spoke in objection to the application  
Mrs Catherine Lockwood spoke in support of the application  
Mr Will Grant spoke in support of the application

Mr Jim Carr, on behalf of Winterbourne Stoke Parish Council, Spoke against the application.

The Planning Officer introduced the report which recommended refusal and drew members' attention to the late list which contained additional correspondence and supporting information from the applicant.

A debate ensued during which the issue of the Caravan Club's intention to not renew the applicant's Certified Location license was discussed in detail.

## **RESOLVED**

### **That the application be refused for the following reasons:**

The application proposes various operational development to facilitate the operation of an existing Caravan Club Certified Location (CL) and the holding of temporary tenting/rally events, as may be permitted under the General Permitted Development Order. However, there is considerable doubt over the future prospects of the site to operate as a CL due to the impending withdrawal of the applicant's CL license. Furthermore, without the operation of a CL site, it is not considered that the range of permanent facilities proposed, which include structures, hardstandings and other paraphernalia, can be adequately justified for tenting/rally events which are only permitted to be carried out on a temporary basis. Consequently, in the absence of a long term permanent need for the camping/caravan related proposals, the development would represent an unjustified intrusion into the countryside which planning policy seeks to protect. The development would therefore be contrary to planning policies which seek to preserve the character and appearance of the countryside, including saved policies C2 and C6 of the adopted Salisbury District Local Plan, and national planning policy PPS7.

### **And that:**

The Area Development Manager (South) be authorised to take any necessary enforcement action under delegated powers in respect of the unauthorised operational development at this site.

## 112| **S/2010/0797 - Stonehenge Caravan and Camping Site, Berwick St James**

Public participation:

Mr G Campbell-Johnston spoke in objection to the application.  
Mr Will Grant spoke in support of the application

The Planning Officer introduced the report which recommended approval. He emphasised that this application was for advertisement consent not planning approval and that the committee could only consider the application in the interests of amenity and public safety.

A debate ensued during which the size and siting of the signs were discussed.

## **RESOLVED**

### **That advertising consent be granted for the following reasons:**

The proposed signs, by virtue of their siting, scale, colouring and design, would not have an unacceptable impact upon amenity or public safety. The proposal would therefore be in accordance with the aims and objectives of PPG19 and the relevant parts of Local Plan policies G1, G2, C2 and C6.

### **And subject to the following conditions:**

- 1) No advertisement is to be displayed without the permission of the owner of the site or any other person with an interest in the site entitled to grant permission.

Reason: To accord with the provisions of The Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) (England) Regulations 2007.

- 2) No advertisement shall be sited or displayed so as to:
  - a. endanger persons using any highway, railway, waterway, dock, harbour or aerodrome (civil or military);
  - b. obscure, or hinder the ready interpretation of, any traffic sign, railway signal or aid to navigation by water or air; or
  - c. hinder the operation of any device used for the purpose of security or surveillance or for measuring the speed of any vehicle.

Reason: To accord with the provisions of The Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) (England) Regulations 2007.

- 3) Any advertisement displayed, and any site used for the display of advertisements, shall be maintained in a condition that does not impair the visual amenity of the site.

Reason: To accord with the provisions of The Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) (England) Regulations 2007.

- 4) Any structure or hoarding erected or used principally for the purpose of displaying advertisements shall be maintained in a condition that does

not endanger the public.

Reason: To accord with the provisions of The Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) (England) Regulations 2007.

- 5) Where an advertisement is required under these Regulations to be removed, the site shall be left in a condition that does not endanger the public or impair visual amenity.

Reason: To accord with the provisions of The Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) (England) Regulations 2007.

112) **S/2010/1274 - London Road, Amesbury**

Public participation:

Mr Jeff Coy spoke in objection to the application  
Mrs June Mills spoke in objection to the application  
Mr Mike Bees spoke in support of the application

The Planning Officer introduced the report which recommended approval and drew members' attention to the late list.

A debate ensued during which such issues as the appropriateness of the site were discussed.

**RESOLVED**

**That the application be approved for the following reasons:**

The proposed development would not be unacceptable in principle. Provided its hours are restricted, it would not harm the living conditions of neighbouring properties through unacceptable noise and disturbance, fumes or odour. The filling station would not harm the character or appearance of the area, the safety of highway users or the Strategic Road Network. It would not be harmful in terms of contamination, archaeology, ecology or any other material planning consideration. The development would therefore comply with saved policies G1, G2 (General Development Criteria), E16 (Employment uses) and CN21 (Areas of Archaeological Significance) or the advice in national guidance PPS4 (Planning for Sustainable Economic Growth).

**And subject to the following conditions:**

- (1) The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

REASON: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

(2) The development hereby approved shall be undertaken in full accordance with the following approved plans:

Location and Site Plan 1625-08A, received 27th August 2010  
Proposed Elevations 1625-09B, received 27th August 2010  
Kiosk plans and elevations 1625-10, received 27th August 2010  
Proposed Elevations 1625-09B, received 27<sup>th</sup> August 2010  
Site Sections and Site Set Out 1625-11B, received 27th August 2010

REASON: for the avoidance of doubt

(3) The development hereby approved shall use the materials specified in the application documentation unless otherwise agreed, in writing, by the Local Planning Authority.

REASON: in the interests of the character and appearance of the area.

POLICY: G2

(4) The use hereby permitted shall not take place except between the hours of 07:00 to 23:00

REASON: in the interests of residential amenity

POLICY: G2

(5) Deliveries to the site, including tanker deliveries, and waste collections shall not take place outside the hours of 07:00 to 21:00.

REASON: in the interests of residential amenity

POLICY: G2

(6) Prior to the commencement of development, a scheme to ensure that the customer parking spaces and forecourt are made inaccessible to motor vehicles when the petrol filling station is closed, shall be submitted to and approved, in writing, by the Local Planning Authority. Development shall be undertaken in accordance with the scheme thereby approved.

REASON: in the interests of residential amenity

POLICY: G2

(7) Noise from mechanical services and refrigeration plant shall not exceed the following noise rating levels (As defined by BS4142:1997):  
LAeq (15min) 42 dB(A) between the hours of 07:00 and 23:00; and



LAeq (15min) 33 dB(A) between the hours of 23:00 and 07:00

at the boundary between the development and residential properties located on Oak Place and Beacon Close.

REASON: in the interests of residential amenity  
POLICY: G2

(8) Prior to the first use of the development hereby approved, a revised Travel Plan for the superstore shall be submitted to and approved, in writing, by the Local Planning Authority, take into account the additional employees at the filling station. Development shall be undertaken and operated in perpetuity in accordance with the approved Travel Plan.

REASON: in the interests of sustainable transport

POLICY: G1

(9) Prior to the commencement of development approved by this planning permission (or such other date or stage in development as may be agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority), the following components of a scheme to deal with the risks associated with contamination of the site shall each be submitted to and approved, in writing, by the local planning authority:

- (1) A preliminary risk assessment which has identified:
  - (a) All previous uses
  - (b) Potential contaminants associated with those uses
  - (c) A conceptual model of the site indicating sources, pathways and receptors
  - (d) Potentially unacceptable risks arising from contamination at the site.
- (2) A site investigation scheme, based on (1) to provide information for a detailed assessment of the risk to all receptors that may be affected, including those off site.
- (3) The site investigation results and the detailed risk assessment (2) and, based on these, an options appraisal and remediation strategy giving full details of the remediation measures required and how they are to be undertaken.
- (4) A verification plan providing details of the data that will be collected in order to demonstrate that the works set out in (3) are complete and identifying any requirements for longer-term monitoring of pollutant linkages, maintenance and arrangements for contingency action.

Any changes to these components require the express consent of the local planning authority. The scheme shall be implemented as approved.

REASON: To ensure the proposed development will not cause pollution of Controlled Waters

(10) The development hereby approved shall be undertaken in accordance with the Supporting Landscape Submission dated April 2010 unless otherwise agreed, in writing, by the Local Planning Authority.

REASON: in the interests of the character and appearance of the area

POLICY: G2

(11) Before the start of the development, full details of reconstructed access points across the existing footway, including any necessary drainage together with details of the revisions to the ghost island markings in London Road, shall be submitted for the written approval of the Local Planning Authority. The accesses and road markings shall be constructed and installed in accordance with the approved details before the first use of the development.

REASON: in the interests of highway safety and to ensure safe pedestrian access across the vehicular access points.

POLICY: G2

(12) Before the start of the development, details of a traffic management scheme for signing and markings to control vehicular movements at the ingress and egress points onto the public highway shall be submitted for the approval of the Local Planning Authority. The traffic management scheme shall be provided in accordance with the approved details before the first use of the development and retained and maintained thereafter.

REASON: in the interests of highway safety

POLICY: G2

(13) Prior to the commencement of development, full details of the proposed acoustic fence shall be submitted to and approved, in writing, by the Local Planning Authority. The fence shall be erected in accordance with the approved details prior to the first use of the development, and shall remain in place in perpetuity.

REASON: in the interests of the amenities of neighbouring properties

POLICY: G2

(14) Prior to the commencement of development a scheme of external lighting shall be submitted to the local planning authority for approval. Any lighting installed shall comply with the details approved by the local planning

authority.

REASON: In the interests of the amenities of neighbouring properties

POLICY: G2

(15) No construction work shall take place on Sundays or Public Holidays or outside the hours of 7:30 to 18:00 on weekdays and 08:00 to 13:00 on Saturdays. This condition shall not apply to the internal fitting out of the kiosk.

REASON: In the interests of the amenities of neighbouring properties

Policy: G2

#### INFORMATIVES FROM THE ENVIRONMENT AGENCY

##### Foul Drainage

The foul drainage must be kept separate from the clean surface and roof water.

The foul drainage must be connected to the public sewerage system. You should liaise with the Water Company regarding the availability, location and adequacy of the existing public sewerage and sewage treatment facilities.

Any vehicle wash area must have a dedicated drainage system which collects run-off. The run-off must be directed to the foul sewer with the local water companies consent or collected in a suitable sized storage tank for collection by a registered waste carrier.

##### Pollution Control

The underground tank on this development may not be controlled under the Petroleum Regulations. The Local Planning Authority should ensure that the design meets the requirements of the Building Control Officer to prevent leakage into groundwater.

The oil interceptor must be capable of holding the contents of the largest compartment of any road tanker, which delivers fuel to the site.

Underground or over ground pipelines should be adequately protected against leakage particularly by corrosion.

Underground chemical, oil or fuel storage tanks should be constructed of material resistant to attack by the substance stored therein and protected against corrosion. The tank vent pipe should be taken to a sufficient height to prevent an overflow taking place in the event of the tank being overfilled.

Surface water from car parking areas less than 0.5 hectares and roads

should discharge to watercourse or ground via deep sealed trapped gullies. For car parks greater than 0.5 hectares in area, oil interceptor facilities are required such that at least 6 minutes retention is provided for a storm of 12.5mm rainfall per hour. With approved "by-pass" type of interceptors, flows generated by rainfall rates in excess of 5mm/hour may be allowed to bypass the interceptor provided the overflow device is designed so that oily matter is retained. Lorry parks, fuel filling areas, off loading areas require full oil interceptor facilities and "by-pass" interceptors are not considered suitable. Segregation of roof water should be carried out where possible to minimise the flow of contaminated water to be treated. Detergents, emulsifiers and solvents must not be allowed to drain to the interceptor as these would render it ineffective.

#### Water Efficiency

Water efficiency measures should be incorporated into this scheme. These could include, for example, water butts, rainwater recycling and the use of water-efficient internal appliances and systems. It would assist in conserving natural water resources and offer some contingency during times of water shortage. A copy of our publication 'Conserving Water in Buildings' is available upon request.

#### 112) **S/2010/0424 - Moose Hall, 63 Devizes Road, Salisbury**

##### Public participation:

Mr Gordon Pearce spoke in objection to the application  
Mrs Jess Johnston spoke in objection to the application  
Mr Nick Charlton spoke in objection to the application  
Mr Paul Stevens spoke in support of the application  
Cllr Richard Clewer, local member, spoke in objection to the application

The Planning Officer introduced the report which recommended approval, and drew members' attention to the late list. It was also drawn to the Committee's attention by a member of the public that, contrary to the Officers report, there were side-facing windows in the adjoining property/ 65 Devizes Road.

A debate ensued during which issues of overshadowing and parking provision were discussed.

##### **RESOLVED:**

**Subject to a unilateral agreement being entered into for the provision of contribution towards public open space, in accordance with policy R2, that planning permission is granted for the following reasons:**

Moose Hall is located on the Devizes Road, in an area of mixed architectural character. The building is an unlisted 20<sup>th</sup> Century Meeting Hall, and it is considered that it does not have significant architectural merit to warrant protection from demolition.

It is considered that the proposed development will be acceptable in principle, will respect the character and appearance of the streetscene, will not result in an adverse impact upon the amenities/ living environment enjoyed by existing and proposed residents and will not have an adverse impact on highway safety, in accordance with Local Plan policies G1, G2, D1, D2, H8 and the advice contained within PPS3.

**And subject to the following conditions:**

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. AS amended by section 51 (1) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (0004 AMENDED)

2. Before development is commenced, a schedule of materials and finishes, and, where so required by the Local Planning Authority, samples of such materials and finishes, to be used for the external walls and roofs of the proposed development shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure that the building is appropriately detailed- Policy D2

112) **S/2010/1265 - 74a - 76 Castle Road, Salisbury**

Public participation:

Mr John Coleman spoke in support of the application

The Planning Officer introduced the report, which recommended approval, and drew members' attention to the late list.

**RESOLVED:**

**That planning permission be granted for the following reasons:**

The proposal would make efficient use of land in an area where the principle of residential development is acceptable, and the design would be appropriate to the character and appearance of the area. Subject to conditions, there would be no significant adverse impacts to highways safety or the residential amenities of surrounding property. The development would therefore accord with the aims and objectives of the development plan,

having particular regard to Local Plan policies G1, G2, D1, D2, H8, TR11, TR14 and R2, and there are no other material considerations which would make the development otherwise unacceptable.

**Subject to the owner entering into a legal agreement with the Council in respect of (i) the provision of recreational open space in accordance with the requirements of Policy R2, (ii) a financial contribution towards 3 primary school places, and (iii) a financial contribution towards the provision of waste and recycling bins for the development.**

**And subject to the following conditions:**

- 1) The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. As amended by Section 51 (1) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

- 2) Before development is commenced, a schedule of materials and finishes, and, where so required by the Local Planning Authority, samples of such materials and finishes, to be used for the external wall[s] and roof[s] of the proposed development shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To secure a harmonious form of development.

Policy D1 & D2

- 3) No development shall commence on site until a scheme of hard and soft landscaping has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, the details of which shall include:

- (a) details of trees and hedgerows to be retained, together with measures for their protection in the course of development;
- (b) all species, planting sizes and planting densities,
- (c) hard surfacing materials;
- (d) proposed and existing functional services above and below ground (e.g. drainage, power, communications, cables, pipelines etc indicating lines, manholes, supports etc).

Reason: To ensure a satisfactory landscaped setting for the development and the protection of existing important landscape features.

Policy D1 & D2

- 4) No development shall commence on site until details of the design and external appearance of all fences, gates, walls, and other means of enclosure have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local

Planning Authority. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details prior to the development being occupied.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity and the character and appearance of the area.

#### Policy D1 & D2

- 5) No development shall commence on site until a scheme for the discharge of surface water from the site (including surface water from the access/driveway), incorporating sustainable drainage details, has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall not be occupied until surface water drainage has been constructed in accordance with the approved scheme.

Reason: To ensure that the development can be adequately drained.

#### Policy G3

- 6) Notwithstanding the provisions of Classes A to E of Schedule 2 (Part 1) to the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995, (or any Order revoking and re-enacting that Order with or without modification), there shall be no extensions to the dwellings nor the erection of any structures within the curtilages unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority upon submission of a planning application in that behalf.

Reason: In the interests of visual and neighbouring amenity.

- 7) No construction or demolition work shall take place on Sundays or public holidays or outside the hours of 0730 to 1800 weekdays and 0800 to 1300 on Saturdays. This condition shall not apply to the internal fitting out of the buildings.

Reason: In the interests of neighbouring amenity.

#### Policy G2

- 8) Construction work shall not begin until a scheme for protecting plots 7-11 from road traffic noise and for the provision of a mechanical ventilation system has been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority; all works which form part of the scheme shall be completed before the development is occupied.

REASON: In the interest of the amenities of future occupiers

#### Policy G2

- 9) Before the start of the development, full construction details of the

vehicular access to Castle Road and the internal access road, including details of the re-instatement of the footway at the existing access to No 76, shall be submitted for the written approval of the LPA; and the vehicular access, internal access road and re-instated footway shall be completed to the satisfaction of the LPA and in accordance with the approved details before the first occupation of each dwelling directly served from that part of the access.

Reason: In the interests of Highway safety

Policy G2

**Informatives:**

1. The applicant's attention is directed to the water efficiency, sustainable construction, pollution prevention during construction and waste management informatives set out within the consultation response letter from the Environment Agency dated 08.09.2010.
2. The existing street lighting column at the access point shall be relocated to a position to the full approval of the Highway Authority at the expense of the developer as part of the works associated with condition No.9. Because the existing vehicular access to No.76 is effectively stopped up by the development, the footway levels should also be re-instated at the expense of the developer.

112| **S/2010/1072 - Land Between Netheravon Road and High Street Durrington**

Public participation:

Mr Dave Staniland spoke in support of the application  
Mr David Healing, representing Durrington Parish Council, spoke in support of the application

The Planning Officer introduced the item, which was a reserved matters application for landscaping and appearance of Phase 1 of the development only, and drew members' attention to the late list which contained a revised recommendation.

The Planning Officer confirmed that the Wiltshire Council allocations policy for affordable housing is such that this is not a site where a parish connection is required (all the affordable units will be determined by need and not by local connection to Durrington). The Committee requested that a letter be sent to the Head of New Housing to consider changing the allocation of affordable housing policy to give priority to people with a connection to the parish.



Cllr Wright spoke as local member and withdrew from the debate and vote.

**RESOLVED:**

Subject to the completion of a supplemental S106 agreement to modify the 2008 S106 agreement to:

- a) Amend the position of 1 affordable dwelling
- b) Update the table of affordable housing mix on the original S106
- c) Allow the affordable housing to be provided in phases (to correspond to phasing condition 5 on the outline consent)
- d) Update the Affordable Housing provisions to take account of replacement of BREEAM by Code for Sustainable Homes and revised mechanisms for the sale of shared ownership units in line with current legislation/regulations

Following completion of which, the decision to grant Reserved Matters be delegated to officers.

**Approve for the following reasons:**

The layout of the development, access road, ancillary infrastructure, and scale of the development has all been considered and approved on the outline planning application, leaving only Landscaping and Appearance of the dwellings as reserved matters for subsequent approval.

The detailed design components of this reserved matters application are considered to follow the provisions of the adopted development brief and in doing so give a large degree of certainty that this reserved matters application will deliver a high quality scheme that is locally relevant to the context of Durrington. The application is considered to address the requirements set out in the adopted development brief and accords with the relevant 'saved' policies of the Adopted Salisbury District Local Plan, namely:

G1	Sustainable Development
G2	General Principles of development
G9	Infrastructure and facilities
D1	Design - Extensive development
G3 & G5	The Water Environment
H12	Housing – Durrington
H25	Affordable Housing
CN11	Views into and out of Conservation Areas
C12	Development affecting protected species
R2	Open Space provision.

**And subject to the following conditions:**

(1) The development hereby permitted shall be begun either before the expiration of three years from the date of outline permission (S/2006/1698), or before the expiration of two years from the date of approval of the last of the reserved matters to be approved, whichever is the later.

Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 92 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

(2) This approval of matters reserved discharges conditions 01, 02, 03, 08, 09, 10 and 07 (but only in part - the schedule of external facing materials) of outline planning permission S/2006/1698 only in so far as it relates to Phase 1 of the development and the area of land edged in red to which this application relates, but does not by itself constitute a planning permission.

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt, and in order to comply with the provisions of Section 92 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

(3) The further approval of the Local Planning Authority in respect of those matters reserved by conditions 06, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24 and 27 of the outline permission S/2006/1698) is required prior to the commencement of Phase 1 of the development.

Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 92 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

(4) No development shall take place until large scale details, at a scale of not less than 1:10 of all types of dormers, chimney stack, windows (including head, sill and window reveal details), porch canopies, projecting dentil, string and corbel courses, decorative timber supports/brackets to porch canopies, eaves, verges and exposed rafter feet have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall thereafter be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure that the development secures a high quality streetscape, in the interest of visual amenity and the character and appearance of the area.

Policy: D1 (Design), CN11 (Views into and out of conservation areas)

(5) No development shall take place on the dwellings hereby approved which have flintwork (plots 1, 27-28, 36-37 and 39-42) and painted brickwork (plots 3-4 and 26) until sample panels (not less than 1 metre square) of the flintwork and brick banding (to demonstrate the type of flint, style of flintwork, mortar mix, finish and pointing style) and the painted brickwork have been constructed on site, inspected and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The panels shall then be left in position for comparison whilst the development is carried out. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure that the development secures a high quality streetscape, in the interest of visual amenity and the character and

appearance of the area.

Policy: D1 (Design), CN11 (Views into and out of conservation areas)

(6) No development shall commence until a scheme to restrict the parking spaces at the allotments for use by allotment holders only has been submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The development shall accord with the agreed scheme.

Reason: To ensure that adequate parking facilities are available for the allotment holders

Policy: G2 (General), G9 (infrastructure & facilities)

(7) The landing and bathroom windows in the south (rear elevation) of plot 18 shall be fitted with obscure glazing and shall be fixed shut with top hung fanlight only (as shown on the approved plans) prior to the first occupation of plot 18 and thereafter shall be maintained in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: In the interests of residential amenity and privacy.

Policy: G2 (General)

(8) This development shall be in accordance with the following drawings:

P.38-42.e Revision A – Plots 38-42 Elevations

ED p.35-42 – Elevations and Details Plots 35-42

ED p.26-28 – Elevations and Details Plots 26-28 & 42

ED.p-53 – Elevations and Details Plot 53

P.38-42.p2 – Plots 38-42 Second Floor Plan

P.38-42.p1 – Plots 38-42 Ground and First Floor Plans

P.1.pe Revision B – Plot 1 Plans and Elevations

P.52.pe Revision B – Plot 52 Plans and Elevations

P.53.pe Revision B – Plot 53 Plans and Elevations

P.20-22.e Revision B – Plots 20-22 Elevations

P.20-22.p Plots 20-22 Floor Plans

P.9-10.e Revision B – Plots 9-10 Elevations

P.9-10.p Revision A – Plots 9-10 Floor Plans

P.7-8.e Revision B – Plots 7-8 Elevations

P.7-8.p Revision A – Plots 7-8 Floor plans

P.18.pe Revision A – Plot 18 Floor Plans and Elevations

P.19.pe Revision A – Plot 19 Floor Plans and Elevations

P.6.pe Revision B – Plot 6 Floor Plans and Elevations

P.11-14.pe Revision B – Plots 11-14 Floor Plans and Elevations

P.15-17.e Revision B – Plots 15-17 Elevations

P.15-17.p – Plots 15-17 Floor Plans

P.23-25.e1 Revision A – Plots 23-25 Front and Side Elevations

P.23-25.e2 Revision A – Plots 23-25 Rear and Side Elevations

P.23-25.p – Plots 23-25 Floor Plans

P.2-5.e Revision C – Plots 2-5 Elevations

P.2-5.p Revision A – Plots 2-5 Floor Plans

P.26-29.e Revision B – Plots 26-29 Elevations

P.26-29.p Revision A – Plots 26-29 Floor Plans

P.30-37.e Revision B – Plots 30-37 Elevations (1 of 2)

P.30-37.e Revision B – Plots 30-37 Elevations (2 of 2)  
P.30-37.p – Plots 30-37 Floor Plans  
GAR.04 Revision A – Quadruple garages floor plans and elevations  
GAR.03 – Double garage Option 2 floor plans and elevations  
GAR.02 – Double garage Option 1 floor plans and elevations  
GAR.01 – Single garage floor plans and elevations  
LP.01 Revision B - Location Plan  
ML.01 Revision D – Materials Layout  
Materials Schedule Durrington Phase 1 Sheet 1 of 2 Rev C  
Materials Schedule Durrington Phase 1 Sheet 2 of 2 Rev C  
PER 17247 12 D – Open Space Proposals  
ALA.01 Revision B – Allotment Areas  
PER 17247 13 B – Allotment Proposals  
PER-17247 11 – Landscape Proposals  
AHL.01 – Affordable Housing Layout  
PHSC PS/100 – Foul water pumping station  
STD/834 A – Palisade Security Fencing (General Purpose)  
WT 75 R – Rectangular drinking troughs  
ILKLEY garage door

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning

INFORMATIVE: Wiltshire Fire & Rescue

The applicant should be made aware of the letter received from Wiltshire Fire & Rescue Service regarding advice on fire safety measures. This letter can be found on the application file which can be viewed on the council's website against the relevant application record.

INFORMATIVE: Conditions 7, 8, 9 & 10 of the outline consent – PHASE 1  
Conditions 7, 8, 9 & 10 of the Outline consent require the information (9) to be submitted as part of each Reserved Matters Application. The details provided in relation to conditions 8, 9, 10 (and part of 7 as sample panels are still required), as they apply to Phase 1 of the development, are acceptable to the Local Planning Authority and are hereby discharged. A separate discharge of conditions application for conditions 8, 9 and 10 is not required

112. **S/2010/0605 - Recreation Ground Adjacent to All Saints Church, Romsey Road, Whiteparish**

Public participation:

Mr Tim Drew spoke in objection to the application  
Mr Robert Twiddy spoke in objection to the application  
Mr Philip Brown spoke in support of the application  
Mrs Sheila Campbell spoke in support of the application  
Mr Hugh Bernard spoke against the application

Mr Trevor King, representing Whiteparish Parish Council, spoke in support of the application

The Planning Officer introduced the report, which recommended refusal, and drew members' attention to the late list.

A debate ensued during which the issue of the scale of the development and the impact on the surrounding area were discussed. As he had declared a personal and prejudicial interest, Cllr Britton spoke as local member and withdrew from the debate and vote.

**RESOLVED: that, subject to referral to the Government Office of the South West**

**APPROVE**

For the following reasons

The provision of enhanced local facilities would accord with national and local guidance as expressed in PPS1, PPS4 and PPS7 and the Local Plan policies G1, PS1, R1A and PS3, and though the proposal will detrimentally effect the current cricket pitch, this is substandard and a newly constructed square in an alternative position would be beneficial to the playing of sport and therefore the proposal would be in accordance with the aims of PPG17

**and subject to the following conditions**

1 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of five years from the date of this permission.

REASON: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

2. This decision relates to documents/plans listed below. No variation from the approved documents should be made without the prior approval of this Council. Amendments may require the submission of a further application.

Drawing ref.no 3095 received on 11 October 2010

Drawing ref.no 3095/65 Rev B received on 31 August 2010

Drawing ref.no 3095/66 Rev B received on 23 April 2010

Drawing ref.no 3095/67 Rev A received on 19 April 2010

Drawing ref.no 3095/68 received on 19 April 2010

Drawing ref.no 3095/70 received on 19 April 2010

Drawing ref.no 3095/71 received on 19 April 2010

Interim Ecological Report by Collingridge Ecological Consultants dated March 2009

Ecological Report by Collingridge Ecological Consultants dated July 2009  
Method Statement received on 16 September 2010  
Pond Hydrology Report prepared by LED Architects received on 7 May 2010  
Herpetological Survey by Griffin Ecological dated June 2009  
Arboricultural Report by S.J.Stephens Associates received on 19 April 2010  
Statement of justification received on 19 April 2010  
Waste audit and recycling received on 19 April 2010  
Design and access statement received on 19 April 2010

REASON For the avoidance of doubt

3. Notwithstanding the details shown on the submitted plans and forms, no development shall commence on site until details and samples of the materials to be used for the external walls and roofs have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

REASON: In the interests of visual amenity and the character and appearance of the area.

POLICY- D2 Design criteria and CN8 Conservation Area

4 No development shall commence on site until a scheme of works for noise attenuation has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Any works which form part of the approved scheme shall be completed prior to the premises are first brought into use and shall be maintained in accordance with the approved details at all times thereafter.

REASON: To ensure the retention of an environment free from intrusive levels of noise and activity in the interests of the amenity of the area.

POLICY G2 General criteria for development

5 No development shall commence on site until a Memorial Pond management and maintenance protocol has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details and the pond shall be retained and maintained in accordance with these details at all times thereafter.

REASON: In the interests of protected species

POLICY CN12 (protected species) PPS9 Biodiversity and Geological Conservation and the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), G2 General criteria for development

6 No development shall commence on site until a scheme of works for the extension of the netting around the MUGA has been submitted to and

approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Any works which form part of this approved scheme shall be completed prior to the premises are first brought into use and shall be maintained in accordance with the approved details at all times thereafter.

REASON: In the interests of amenity  
POLICY G2 General criteria for development  
There shall be no external lighting of the site

REASON: In the interests of the amenity of the area.  
POLICY G2 General criteria for development

7 No development shall commence until details of a 6m wide suitably surfaced pedestrian route from the junction with Common Road across the existing car park to the new community building has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Prior to the first bringing into use of the community building, the surfaced pedestrian route shall have been constructed and be available for use, in accordance with the approved details. Thereafter the surfaced pedestrian route shall be kept free of obstruction at all times.

REASON: To ensure that the development is served by an adequate means of access in the interests of highway safety.  
POLICY G2 General criteria for development

8 No development shall commence until details of a 1.5m wide pedestrian route from the A27 across the existing recreation ground to the new community building has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Prior to the first bringing into use of the community building, the pedestrian route shall be available for use, in accordance with the approved details. Thereafter the surfaced pedestrian route shall be kept free of obstruction at all times.

REASON: To ensure that the development is served by an adequate means of access in the interests of highway safety.  
POLICY G2 General criteria for development

9 No development shall commence until a scheme of works for the protection of the existing playing field has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Any works which form part of the approved scheme shall be implemented prior to the demolition of the existing pavilion.

REASON: To ensure the retention of activities on the Recreation Ground during the construction period  
POLICY G2 General criteria for development

10 No development shall commence on site until a scheme to mitigate the impact of the development on the playing of cricket on the recreational ground has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The approved scheme shall be implemented prior to the first bringing into use of the new community building

REASON: To ensure the continuity of sport activities on the Recreation Ground

POLICY PPG17 Playing fields

11 During construction works, no machinery shall be operated, no process shall be carried out and no deliveries taken at or despatched from the site other than between the hours of 0800 to 1800 on Mondays to Fridays, 0900 to 1300 on Saturdays and no time on Sundays, Bank and Public Holidays.

REASON To minimise the disturbance which noise during construction of the proposed development could otherwise have on the amenities of nearby residential dwellings

POLICY G2 General criteria for development

12 The use hereby permitted shall only take place between the hours of 08.00 and 22.30 from Sundays to Thursdays and between 08.00 and midnight on Fridays and Saturdays.

REASON: To ensure the creation/retention of an environment free from intrusive levels of noise and activity in the interests of the amenity of the area.

POLICY G2 General criteria for development

13 No sound-amplifying equipment, loudspeaker, public address system shall be installed/operated to relay sound outside the building hereby approved.

REASON: To ensure the retention of an environment free from intrusive levels of noise and activity in the interests of the amenity of the area.

POLICY G2 General criteria for development

14 At all times when the building is in use, a sign shall be fixed near all exit doors to the building requesting patrons to be quiet on leaving the premises.

REASON: In order to safeguard the amenities of the area in which the development is located.

POLICY G2 General criteria for development



15 No development shall commence on site until a scheme for the discharge of surface water from the building, has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall not be first brought into use until surface water drainage has been constructed in accordance with the approved scheme.

REASON: To ensure that the development can be adequately drained.  
POLICY G2 General criteria for development

**INFORMATIVE**

It is expected that the scheme of mitigation will be agreed in consultation with the ECB's Pitch Advisor, and that any such mitigation measures would be funded as part of the overall development.

112| **S/2010/0585 - Village Hall, Romsey Road, Whiteparish**

The Planning Officer introduced the report, which recommended approval.

**RESOLVED:**

**That, subject to the approval by the Government Office for the South West, application S/2010/0605 planning permission be granted for the following reasons:**

Both national (PPS1, PPS4 and PPS7) and local guidance (policy G1) would support the enhancement of the Village Hall's facilities. In this case, this enhancement involves the erection of a new hall elsewhere in the village and it is recognised there will be a loss of a community facility if the site of the hall is redeveloped for housing. However, whilst officers are concerned that the current Village Hall could be demolished and no replacement erected, if as in this atypical case, the Parish Council is promoting the scheme and the village supports the proposal then whilst there are concerns that the proposal is not in accordance with Local Plan policy PS3, if permission is granted for a new village hall on the corner of the Memorial Recreation Ground then overall it could be considered that there would not be a loss of a community facility. Therefore the proposal to demolish the existing hall would be acceptable.

As regards the redevelopment of the site, it lies within the Housing Policy Boundary of Whiteparish (policy H16) and therefore the principle of the residential development is acceptable provided any scheme would comply with the other criteria of the Local Plan, particularly policies G2 and D2. However, as this application is in outline, the scheme is indicative and the drawings are only illustrative. But, these indicative details are considered to be in keeping with the character of the area and the further details of the dwellings' appearance, etc will be the subject of reserved matters

submissions.

**And subject to the owners entering into an agreement under Section 106 to tie this application to the reprovision of village hall facilities and to the following conditions:**

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun either before the expiration of five years from the date of this permission, or before the expiration of two years from the date of approval of the last of the reserved matters to be approved, whichever is the later.

2.

REASON: To comply with the provisions of Section 92 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

2. No development shall commence on site until details of the following matters (in respect of which approval is expressly reserved) have been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority:

- a) The layout of the development;
- b) The external appearance of the development;
- c) The landscaping of the site;
- d) The means of access to the site.

The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

REASON: The application was made for outline planning permission and is granted to comply with the provisions of Section 92 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and Article 3(1) of the Town and Country Planning (General Development Procedure) Order 1995.

POLICY H16, Housing Policy Boundary, G2 General criteria for development, D2 Design criteria

3. An application for the approval of all of the reserved matters shall be made to the Local Planning Authority before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

REASON: To comply with the provisions of Section 92 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

4. Prior to the first occupation of the dwelling, a recessed minimum 2.4m wide access in respect of each plot shall be constructed 4.5m back from the carriageway edge and its sides shall be splayed outward at an angle of 45 degrees toward the carriageway shall be properly consolidated and surfaced not loose stone or gravel in accordance with details to be agreed

REASON To ensure that a satisfactory form of access is provided in the interests of highway safety.

POLICY G2 General criteria for development

5. Any entrance gates provided to close the proposed access shall be set a minimum distance of 4.5 metres from the carriageway edge and shall be made to open inwards only.

REASON In the interests of highway safety.  
POLICY G2 General criteria for development

6. Provision shall be made within the site for the disposal of surface water so as to prevent its discharge onto the highway details of which shall have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

REASON In the interests of highway safety.  
POLICY G2 General criteria for development

7. Prior to the first occupation of the dwellings, parking and turning spaces shall have been provided for the development within the curtilage of the site. These shall be retained in perpetuity.

REASON In the interests of highway safety.  
POLICY G2 General criteria for development

8. No deliveries, demolition, construction, or other building activity shall take place on Sundays or Public Holidays or outside the hours of 07:30 and 18:00 on weekdays and 08:00 and 13:00 on Saturdays.

REASON In the interests of the amenities of the neighbours.  
POLICY G2 General criteria for development

9. Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 (as amended by the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (Amendment) (No.2) (England) Order 2008 (or any Order revoking or re-enacting or amending that Order with or without modification), no window, dormer window or rooflight, above the ground floor, other than those shown on the approved plans, shall be inserted in the development hereby permitted.

REASON: In the interests of residential amenity and privacy.  
POLICY-POLICY G2 General criteria for development Plan

10. This decision relates to documents/plans submitted with the application, listed below.

Drawing ref.no. WEB254-1Rev A received on 19 April 2010

Drawing ref.no. WEB254-3Rev A received on 19 April 2010

REASON For the avoidance of doubt

11. No development shall take place until details of provision for recreational

open space in accordance with policy R2 of the Salisbury District Local Plan have been submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

REASON In order to comply with Policy R2 of the Salisbury District Local Plan

POLICY R2 Public open space provision

12. During the demolition and the construction phases of the development there shall be no burning of waste on the site.

REASON: To minimize the disturbance which the burning of waste equipment could otherwise have upon the amenities of nearby dwellings.

POLICY G2 General criteria for development

#### INFORMATIVE PUBLIC SEWERS

The applicant should note that the grant of planning permission does not include any separate permission which may be needed to erect a structure in the vicinity of a public sewer. Such permission should be sought direct from Southern Water. Development is not normally allowed within 3.0 metres of a Public Sewer although this may vary depending on the size, depth, strategic importance, available access and the ground conditions appertaining to the sewer in question.

#### 113. **Urgent Items**

There were no urgent items

(Duration of meeting: 18.00 – 22.50)

The Officer who has produced these minutes is Pam Denton, Senior Democratic Services Officer, of Democratic Services, direct line (01225) 718371, e-mail [pam.denton@wiltshire.gov.uk](mailto:pam.denton@wiltshire.gov.uk)

Press enquiries to Communications, direct line (01225) 713114/713115